

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICA	TION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/61	5,738	07/09/2003	Roger S. Twede	100203290-1	1826
22879 J-1 E-V	7590 VLETT PACKA	07/09/2007 RD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
PΟ	BOX 272400, 34	104 E. HARMONY RC	MCLEAN, NEIL R		
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART ÚNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2625	
	•		·		·
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				07/09/2007	PAPER '

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/615,738	TWEDE, ROGER S.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Neil R. McLean	2625				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONET	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	ıly 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5) [ 6) [ 7) [	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application tity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	ut(e)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 07/09/2003.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-28, drawn to Graphics Processing and Visual Display Attributes, classified in class 345, subclass 619.
  - II. Claims 29-33 drawn to a Printing Device and Memory, classified in class358, subclass 1.16

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because: e.g. Subcombination Claim 18 recites the limitation "a number of sequential pixels to be written to the display starting at the x coordinate and at the y coordinate" is not in e.g. combination Claim 29. The subcombination has separate utility such as graphical manipulation of visual display attributes.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,738 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - a. The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - b. The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - c. The inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

Application/Control Number: 10/615,738 Page 4

Art Unit: 2625

d. The prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention.

- e. The inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the restriction me be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.
- 6. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is

Application/Control Number: 10/615,738

Art Unit: 2625

the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Neil R. McLean whose telephone number is 571.

270.1679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30AM-

5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, King Poon can be reached on 571.272.7440. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Neil R. McLean 06/26/2007

KING Y. POON <del>(PRIMARY</del> EXAMINER

Page 5

Supervisory Patent